

Minutes of Governing Body Meeting, 18th November 2019

Present:	P Perry - Chair R Campbell (Head teacher) C Gilbey T Chesworth Katrina Davies B Calvert G Firth A Womersley
In Attendance:	Y Scott Clerk A Clarkson Bursar
Apologies:	N Wiltshire S Moss (guest apology) Katherine Davies C Ditch – No apologies received

20/21	Receive Apologies for Absence	
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Discussion including questions and Katherine Davies	
	Agreed by all governors	
Actions Arising	g / Resolutions 20/21	
N/A		

20/22	Re-Organisation of FGB Roles	
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Lead – CofG Purpose – Decision 1. Reorganisation of FGB roles a. Election of Vice Chair: Cian Gilbey Proposed (vote) b. Proposal to move Phil from Parent to Co-opted role (vote) Welcome new governors	
Actions Arising	g / 20/22	
Carried Unanii For 6 Again Resolution: Pro	st 0 Abstain 0 pose P Perry to be Co-Opted releasing a Parent Governor Position for 2 arried 95% of recent vote split equally. mously	Clerk to update webpage and DfE governor information page.
20/23	Declarations of Interest for this Meeting and any Applicable to Role	



Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Lead – Chair Purpose – Information None presented	
Actions Arising	g 20/23	
N/A		N/A

20/24	Agree Minutes of Past Meeting and Consider Matters Arising	
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Lead – Chair Purpose – Information 20/14 - Complete 20/18 – no responsibility, the sign off is via head/admin, it is a visual visit of provide alternative perspective - this is complete. 20/19 – YS to update web edit – diary dates public holiday amendment complete. 20/20 - Complete Requested that the note re 3pm being an unpopular time be removed minutes as this is inaccurate it was not possible for most on this occasion	. Others from draft
Actions Arisin	g / Resolutions 20/24	
20/19 To com	plete	YS
	tes a true reflection and accepted as such unanimously, with noted Signed by chair with amendment noted on copy of minutes	Chair

20/25	Consider Meeting Focus – Resources Focus: Policies and Practice
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	 Lead – Bursar Purpose – Information Documents tabled in advance to all governors. Consider meeting focus – Resources Focus: Policies and Practice a) Half-year budget update (Revised Budget), scrutiny and forward plan, including: Pupil Premium and Sports Premium Reporting Bursar presented budget report re current financial year on the summary as a snapshot of where we are, with spend to now and also expected spend. Likely carry forward of £103,000 - slightly better off than originally expected. Staffing has been costlier as previously discussed, some relating to teacher pay award, 2.75% confirmed and we put in 2.5% in projected figures previously. Also, the staff pension payment increase is considerable, but does have an offset from the government pension grant. We have been advised to work on future basis that this pension grant will be continued across the financial planning period. Description given re how table created and inputted for financial data.



TC entered meeting at 1350.

Based on the carry forward to the 3-year rolling plan on document 12, it summarizes where we are now and is based on October pupils as this is where the funding comes from for the financial year. It assumes that the staffing we have in school at the current point will remain the same. Notice also that the EHCP funding will reduce as pupils are departing some which we are aware of, however staffing has not been altered as we do not know what other children may arrive and their individual needs.

Does this include pay awards?

This model includes pay awards and grants, and this is on per pupil which is to cover the 2.75% pay grant, the pension grant we have presumed is cost neutral via government guidelines. Also included are the changes to the national funding formula that we are aware of. We have given back 1% percent in the past to the delegated pot for LA for high need across NYCC, this is in consultation for the upcoming years however with changes to the new national funding formula we should be topped up to the MFG.

The school has been successful in the MOD EFS fund with £46,000 grant being awarded, the school will receive this in Spring 2020. We are delighted with the funding which will assist the school short term and cover the specific proposals submitted to the committee. A significant part of the grant is to offset our higher SEN within the 2020 period and a great achievement for the school.

We have unknowns continuing on the horizon – our contextual factors compounded by possible changes of government, and there comes with this uncertainty re forward planning as different political parties are offering different Education provision so we are planning with the best available information currently to hand.

The current funding mechanism makes it difficult to bridge the gaps for education with our mobility and we are aware of the movement and turbulence and the significant impact this can have on our financial forecast and budget. Funding has been built in this year from income from the teaching alliance via the SLT work, we continue to try and reduce the spending where it is possible to do so and to create funding income streams.

Service pupil premium planned to be spent in much the similar manner to the last year, dependent on pupil need and numbers – however, we have had a little less funding (and fewer children), so broadly like previous years.

How many teaching staff do we have? The reason for the question is whether we add or deduct staff and how this will impact the budget planning.

10.43 teachers (inclusive of SLT) with an average ballpark salary of £37,274 across the school. We have a pay policy where we offer a range of pay points to be as accurate as we can for future, we only build this in if we know someone is moving (i.e. retirement) - if this was a high-level paid teacher we would counter the forecast with a deduction to salary spending.

All advertisements are discussed in detail with the bursar and this process is used on all recruitments. Noted that experience doesn't always equate to skill - you can have a fantastic lower scale application that would benefit the school far more. However, we must also be mindful of quality of applicants and impact on children; mobile pupils may only be with us 18 months – if 12 of these are with a teacher who is early on in career or needs a lot of support, this is disadvantageous. Therefore, we are open to a wider ranging pay scale in adverts particularly for teachers to deliver the best quality within the budget we have pre-set and posts are offered accordingly.



The major expense is tech/teaching/support staff what is this? This is mainly covered by EHCP (new name for statements), the formula be old system has been changed and now we are on a banding system that reduces the funding schools are receiving. The funding we get is reduced cost to support the child on a 1:1 basis is increasing due to staff costs. As a in the next financial year £35,500 will be received in funding, but it will cost to support these children.	invariably I but the a snapshot
Budget wise for us it is challenging and looking at benchmarking - we are higher end of need and with mobility we have had more EHCPs here that met need for, and they have now left. We risk neglecting children that are we have gone from 7 ATAs down to 3. Many children with SEN may not qu EHCP, but they need interventions and we must balance around this and children to thrive.	we have e not 1:1 as valify for
Additionally, staff have left, we have had to alter other contracts to meet needs prior to advertising roles and this comes with a cost impact.	short term
Any news on the children arrival with children with additional needs previo	ously
discussed? 2 chose a different school because parent wanted a smaller setting. One	joined us.
Does the percentage that the LA de-delegate consider the money we are spending? No not really, we get extra money to bring up to the national MFG level, h we have to spend more, this therefore is not additional. All school funding schools receive differing amounts due to deprivation, EAL etc.	owever as
Bearing in mind the PE premium has previously been considerable, recent information has been that it may be halved or not available next year, hav in mechanisms to protect the financial forecast if this is the case? We work on the fact that it is revenue neutral – i.e. we spend what we rec Therefore, if it halves then we will halve what we deliver. It isn't banked no school business, it is an additional bonus to sports provision that is fully used available.	ve we built eive. r is it core
What is the significance of pupil premium? Will this change significantly? Depends on criteria including looked after, service children, FSM etc; the of FSM and LAC are £1300 as opposed to SPP £300. As children leave the sch comes off the budget.	
On the 2020/2021 year, looking at the loss projected is it things like learning etc. that will take the hit to try to bring balance? Education contingency areas have been looked at, our biggest issue is the of pupils and maintaining the structure in the school to then still take childred throughout the year. SEN funding may be potentially less and pupil premitive costs remain for staffing and pension changes.	e turnover en in
Actions Arising / Resolutions 20/25	
N/A	N/A

20/25b	Consider Meeting Focus – Resource Focus – Policies and Procedures



		Inspiring Excellence
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)Lead – Headteacher- Bursar Purpose – Information Documents tabled in advance of meeting to all governors Consider meeting focus – Resources Focus: Policies and Practice		
	 a) See 20/25 b) Use of comparative statistics; c) Discuss SFVS 	
	d) Oversight of staff performance management;e) Plans for capital projects.	
	Brief introduction re SFVS/comparative statistics - this is NYCC comparative data are completed up to September which includes the loss of a teacher position fr year, but it does pick up the start budget from this year. Some areas can be ch the data input area while others are prepopulated and cannot be amended. comparing number of pupils, but not really by demographic.	om last langed in
	How helpful, therefore, is this document / process, when the variations are consist It isn't giving a right or wrong approach. Benchmarking is a process that can as planning and highlight areas for concern. It is more about asking why you are d and allowing you to look at this, to see why it is relevant or indeed not relevant to school. Therefore, it allows for change if you see differences that you cannot ex	sist ifferent for your
	What is the incremental range for headteachers? As a school you would set an individual school range via LA pay policy for your school and pay points are therefore within that set range. If, since appointmen school changes dramatically you can amend your incremental pay point via g decision, also it may be used to retain the staff you have, incentivising experien Currently, the headteacher cost in this school is below average in benchmarkin See additional Confidential Minutes.	t, the overnor's ce.
	see additional contracting minores.	
Actions Arising	g / Resolutions 20/25b	
Continue to co	arefully assess budget	N/A
	Thanks, noted to Headteacher for securing MOD grant, and to Bursar for her work and N/A comprehensive report.	

20/25 C	Consider Meeting Focus – Resources Focus CONFIDENTIAL	
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Discussion including questions and CONFIDENTIAL, recorded in separate document not for staff governors, hard	
Actions Arising	g / Resolutions 20/25 C	
NA		NA

Leeming RAF Community Primary School 'We care, we respect, we do our best'



20/26	Policy Update – Budget Management	
Summary of	Lead – Chair	
Discussion (including	Purpose - Decision	
questions and responses)	Policy documents all tabled in advance to all governors.	
	a) Budget management Policy and Scheme of Delegation	
	Questions None received	
Actions Arising	g / Resolutions 20/26	
Resolutions:		NA
The above po	licies adopted unanimously.	

Agreed (7) Abstain (0) Against (0)

20/27	Receive Relevant H&S Updates	
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Lead - Headteacher/H&S Advisor Purpose – Information Tabled document in advance of meeting; Receive relevant H&S Updates	
	a) Review LA H&S Document Audit Outcomes, including:	
	Health and Safety policy, required actions	
	No questions	
Actions Arising	/ Resolutions 20/27	
NA		NA

20/28	Discuss AOB		
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	Lead – Chair/Clerk Purpose – Information Any monitoring visits please try and complete by mid-December		
Actions Arising / Resolutions 20/28			
NA		NA	

Leeming RAF Community Primary School 'We care, we respect, we do our best'



20/29	Confirm Date of Next Meeting		
Summary of Discussion (including questions and responses)	January 27 th , 2020 1330 in the Zone		
Actions Arising / Resolutions			
NA			