

	<p><i>placing on this, and that this is an example of the excellent impact that school is having on personal development, behaviour and welfare.</i></p> <p>Building Project – Three estimates had been received. It was proposed that the estimate from Oakleys be accepted which was still under budget. Resolved: That the estimate from Oakleys be accepted.</p>	
16/38	<p><u>To Consider Resources Items</u> Out-turn/Start Budget The budget monitoring report was circulated at the meeting. The out-turn figure had improved from the submitted budget. The carry-forward figure had improved through savings made throughout the year. The overall carry forward figure was now £115k. The capital carry-forward had also improved at a figure of £41k.</p> <p>Challenge: Kate asked about the staffing figures. Some savings had been made on teaching staff. Maternity cover funds would be received. A whole class had been covered along with the maternity cover by supply teachers.</p> <p>A challenge the school is facing is that the school will put the support in to help SEN children which reduces the frequency they go into crisis due to the success of this.</p> <p>Challenge: Kate asked about the fuel cost savings – this was due to the new boiler and changing the heating over.</p> <p>Challenge: Kate asked about the meals' phone calls. This was clarified by the School Business Manager.</p> <p>The percentage carry-forward was noted as 13.4%. Thanks were expressed to the School Business Manager.</p> <p>The draft budget was circulated at the meeting. Looking ahead 2016/17 the budget is looking healthy but in 2017/18 there is a significant deficit. This is based on dropping a teacher and going back to nine classes. A ten class structure in 2017/18 was -£116k. This is due to the increasing deficit, partly the costs of having three-person senior leadership team, partly increase in superannuation, pensions, etc., for the teachers. It was noted that the school numbers are declining.</p> <p>Challenge: Nick asked which year group would be the focus of the reduction in staff. This was known at the present time but looking at upper KS2.</p> <p>The Chair and Headteacher had met to discuss a Finance Working Group but the budget would need to be submitted. Pupils numbers would be monitored and draw up a couple of scenarios.</p> <p>Challenge: Kate asked about the teaching staff for 2016/17 and whether Christine would go back into the teaching role. Would Sally continue to increase her day per week. It was noted that Sally would be 0.6 teaching and Christine teaching 0.4. The Assistant Headteacher role was clarified as this was due to pupil numbers and consultant work. Natalie suggested using this year to put the school on a good footing and that staff are supported in the school.</p>	

	<p><i>Resolved: That the proposed start budget be accepted and not to recruit to the role of teacher in KS2 at the present time.</i></p> <p><i>It was agreed to form a Finance Working Group to look more closely at the future finances of the school.</i></p>	
16/39	<p><u>To Receive a Verbal Update from the Chair</u> The Chair had met with the Headteacher and agreed to meet on a monthly basis. These meetings would be recorded in the minutes of each Governing Body meeting. The main discussion was about the budget and agreed to set up a Finance Working Group of the Chair and the two Vice-Chairs along with the Headteacher and the FMS Officer. The Working Group would not be initially a standing committee.</p> <p>The Dales Education Partnership had invited the Headteacher and Chair to a meeting to look at collaborative work. Feedback would be given to Governors at a future meeting. The Alliance had been on the agenda of a parents' forum at Bedale High School.</p>	<p>JR/MS/ AF/RC</p> <p>RC/JR</p>
16/40	<p><u>To Consider Governance Review</u> This would be discussed at the next meeting.</p>	AWL
16/41	<p><u>To Receive feedback/updates from Governors' attendance at meetings or training sessions</u> Governor SIN meeting – 12 May 2016 – Jane Rosamond attending the workshop and main meeting.</p> <p>Nick and the Headteacher to attend an Alliance training event on Academies to be held on 20 May 2016.</p> <p>The Headteacher talked a little on The White Paper and concerns about the situation prior to the u-turn on Friday the Diocese was moving forward at rate pushing academies on Church schools. The concern is that if they set up a multi-academy trust in the locality then the school may not be able to work with the existing good partners. Some areas to consider would be partnership with other schools and to look carefully at sort of a MAT the school would want to be involved with.</p>	<p>JR</p> <p>NG/RC</p>
16/42	<p><u>To Consider Safeguarding/Child Protection/Health and Safety</u> There are currently two children on role who are not at school. They have relocated permanently due to a situation at home. A child protection case has been opened. It was noted that they were not at risk currently. The situation would be monitored.</p> <p>There were no health and safety issues at the present time.</p>	RC
16/43	<p><u>To Receive Any Other Business</u> CONFIDENTIAL ITEM Teaching School Alliance</p> <p>END OF CONFIDENTIAL ITEM</p> <p>Friends of School Nick informed the Governing Body that the Friends of School would like to purchase a PA system which would be a good asset for the school. The Friends would pay for this but ask that the school contribute a percentage towards this. Kate stated that there was already a portable system in</p>	

	school and this would be clarified to see if it could be used. Estimates would be sought ready for their next meeting.	RC NG
16/44	<u>To Confirm the Date of the Next Meeting</u> Wednesday 20 July 2016 at 12.00 noon – 2.00 pm.	ALL

There being no further business the meeting closed at 5.35 pm.

10 May 2016